adjacent ends of each of the legs of the U-shape. Figure 1 of the Joy *et al.* publication does show a U-shape with a plurality of legs extending from ends of each leg of the U-shape conductor. The major difference is that the legs 120a and 120b are different lengths of legs 110a and 110b. The different frequencies produced by the different lengths of the legs.

The present design uses equal length legs 34, 35, 36 and 37 extending transverse to the legs 33 of the U-shape 39. The difference in frequency results from the leg position relative to the via 40. Thus Claim 1 has been amended to specifically describe this interrelationship. Thus, the combination of Krenz *et al.*, with Figure 1 of the Joy *et al.*, publication, if the combination were not obvious, would produce the unequal length legs of Joy on the structure of Krenz *et al.* It is also not obvious where the connection of the via 22 would be placed. Thus, the combination of the teachings of the two cited references does not produce in the presently claimed invention.

Thus, Claim 1 and its dependent claims are also considered allowable over the art of record.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 02-1010 (29083/41796).

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & THORNBURG

Perry Palan
Reg. No. 26,213
(202) 289-1313